

Principles behind reviewing texts submitted for publication in

The Opole Studies in Administration and Law

1. Materials which have been accepted by the Editorial Board are sent for reviewing to two independent Reviewers who are specialists in the given discipline.
2. The Reviewers are chosen by the Board, with particular taking into account the relevant Thematic Editor's suggestion.
3. In the case of texts rendered in a foreign language, one of the Reviewers will be an expert affiliated with an institution overseas, in a country different from the author's nationality.
4. The reviews are executed on the principle of double anonymity – both the Reviewers and the author/s are not familiar with each other's identities.
5. The reviews are drawn up in the written form and will conclude with the explicit approval of the article for publication.
6. The basis of the approval of material for publication is its high scientific standard as acknowledged by both Reviewers.
7. The basis of a Reviewer's rejecting the material is, in particular, the lack of originality of the presented text and its low scientific-cognitive value.
8. The Editorial Board reveals the names of the Reviewers once a year, in the last issue of the journal in the given calendar year and also on the webpage.