

**Editorial review form of an article submitted for publication in
*The Opole Studies in Administration and Law***

Title of the article:

PART I. Evaluation of the article (please indicate the appropriate item)

	Insufficie nt*	Sufficie nt	Good	Very good	Excele nt	Does not apply
Importance of the undertaken problem <i>To what extent is the presented problem scientifically vital?</i>						
Cognitive value <i>To what extent is the work innovatory and brings something new into the literature of the subject?</i>						
Originality <i>To what extent is the work original, i.e. it is not a 'blend' of already well-known publications?</i>						
Applicability <i>To what extent can the problems dealt with in the work be used in practice or for development of theories?</i>						
Methodological appropriateness <i>To what extent are the research methods well chosen and applied?</i>						
Clarity of reasoning <i>To what extent are the considerations presented in the work clear and logical?</i>						
Logicity of the content arrangement <i>To what extent is the structure of the work clear, coherent and consistent?</i>						
Illustrations and tables <i>To what extent are the illustrations of the text (tables, figures, diagrams, etc.) well executed and presented?</i>						
Selection and usage of literature <i>To what extent is the literature appropriately and adequately selected?</i>						
Formal language <i>To what extent is the language in which the work is written appropriate, professional and clear?</i>						
Scholarly level of the article <i>To what extent is the article scholarly?</i>						

* - please justify

PART II. Conclusions – please choose yes or no.

	Yes	No
The work meets the requirements and is recommended for publication in the form in which it is presented		
The work meets the requirements and can be published after taking into account the reviewer's comments		
The work does not meet the requirements and is not recommended for publication		

PART III. Please fill in the relevant fields. In the case of selecting the variant *insufficient* for one of the categories in Part I, please justify it in “Detailed comments” section.

Detailed comments:

Suggested alterations before the article is finally accepted for publication:

Indispensable:

Optional:

The information given below will remain accessible exclusively to the Editorial Board of *The Opole Studies in Administration and Law*, in compliance with the “double-blind review” procedure.

Name and surname of the Reviewer

Signature

.....